2005 Jun-06 AM 11:55 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

EXHIBIT 1

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 2 of 14 R M I N G H A M R E P O R T I N G S E R V $^{\uparrow}$ C E BIRMINGHAM

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DIVISION OF ALABAMA
3	WESTERN DIVISION
4	
	JANICE MORGAN, BARBARA)
5	,
6	RICHARDSON, CORA CANNON,)
7	LAURIE R. TROUT (WILSON))
8	on behalf of themselves)
9	and all others similarly)
10	situated,
11	Plaintiffs,)
12	v.) CV-01-C-0303-W
13	FAMILY DOLLAR STORES,)
14	INC.,
15	Defendants.)
16	
17	STIPULATIONS
1.8	IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED
19.	by and between the parties through their
2 0	respective counsel, that the deposition of
2 1	***********
2 2	KARL HAIGLER
2 3	**********

```
Dollar Stores, who is defendant in the
 1
 2
      lawsuit we presently have pending in the
      Northern District of Alabama.
 3
                                         Ι
      understand it from your attorney, you are
 4
 5
      not an expert in this case but rather been
      used as a technical consultant. And I
 6
      quess I should address it to you. Am I
 7
      correct in that understanding?
 8
             MR. MAY: He has not been
 9
      designated and he is not designated as
10
      expert. He has not been engaged in
1 1
1 2
      connection with this litigation. He was
      not engaged by Family Dollar in connection
13
14
      with this litigation. He was engaged by
15
      Family Dollar well prior to this
16
      litigation and is totally unassociated and
      without the knowledge of this litigation.
17
18
      (Whereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 1,
19
      NOTICE OF DEPOSITION, was marked for
2 0
      identification and the same is attached
2 1
      hereto.)
2 2
             MR. CHILDS: Okay. First off, I
2 3
      guess I'm going to put into evidence as
```

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 4 of 14

```
with John Clifford and Jim May back in May
 1
      of last year --
 2
              Yes.
 3
              -- that was here in Birmingham?
 4
 5
             No, that was at Family Dollar.
              Family Dollar in -- where are they
 6
 7
      located, Charlotte?
 8
          Α
             Yes.
             Okay. And how long was that
 9
10
      meeting?
11
              I think it was about an hour, an
12
      hour and a half. It wasn't that long.
13
             And what did you discuss in that
1 4
      meeting?
15
             The background of the Must Program
16
      and how the job profiling came out of the
17
      -- and what we did the job profiling for
18
      in the terms of the Must Program.
19
             Okay. And what did you tell them
2 0
      in answer to what you did the work
2 1
      profiling for in regard to the Must
2 2
      Program?
2 3
             Well, this was specifically a
```

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 5 of 14 $\bf R$ $\bf R$ $\bf M$ $\bf I$ $\bf N$ $\bf G$ $\bf H$ $\bf A$ $\bf M$ $\bf R$ $\bf E$ $\bf P$ $\bf O$ $\bf R$ $\bf T$ $\bf I$ $\bf N$ $\bf G$ $\bf S$ $\bf E$ $\bf R$ $\bf V$ $\bf I$ $\bf C$ $\bf E$

```
1
      project to develop training inside the
      stores or -- either inside the stores or
 2
 3
      for people who wanted to go to work for
      Family Dollar in assistant manager
 4
 5
      positions. That was our focus.
 6
             The assistant manager, not the
 7
      manager?
 8
          Α
             Yes, that is correct.
 9
          Q
             Okay.
10
                   So I was the lead in the
11
      project, and I involved some associates in
12
      South Carolina, the state of South
      Carolina, who were experts in using
13
14
      material from the WPS, the Work Profiling
15
      System, to develop curriculum for
16
      training. So the reason we did the -- I
17
      was explaining in the meeting that the
18
      reason we did the profiling was in order
19
      for my associate at the South Carolina
2 0
      Workplace Resource Center, Joan Mason, I
2 1
      was using this information with her to
2 2
      give her some guidance in developing the
2 3
      training program for the assistant manager
```

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 6 of 14

```
So that's what the meeting was
 1
      position.
      about.
 2
             In doing this work, were you
 3
      attempting to identify all of the duties
 4
      and responsibilities of the assistant
 5
      manager store manager?
 6
 7
             N \circ .
             Were you trying to determine all
 8
      the duties and responsibilities of the
 9
      store managers?
10
11
          Α
             No.
             Only those that could be utilized,
12
      in your opinion, to help train somebody?
1 3
             Well, the process that we used is
14
      to identify the critical task categories
15
      that are most critical to achieving the
16
17
      job objectives. So, it would be -- in
      terms of the process, we asked each of the
18
19
      subject matter expert groups in three
2 0
      cities -- that is, in Atlanta, Charlotte,
2 1
      and Columbia -- to help us by defining
      their job in terms of their main function
22
2 3
      and their job objectives. They did that
```

```
on a blank piece of paper each time.
 1
      facilitated that -- gathering that
 2
      information. And from that information,
 3
      we then said, "What are the critical task
 4
      categories that would help you achieve
 5
      those job objectives?" So, they had a
 6
      choice of thirty-one task categories. And
 7
      in each group, they narrowed it down to
 8
      eight to twelve task categories to help
 9
10
      achieve those job objectives.
             But you weren't going through and
11
      actually trying to define for store
1 2
      managers exactly what percent of the day
.13
14
      was spent performing specific tasks in
15
      their store?
          Α
             No.
16
17
             And you weren't trying to do that
18
      for the associate or assistant managers
      either?
19
2 0
             That's correct.
             And in fact, you did not attempt to
2 1
          Q
2 2
      inquire of your subject matter experts how
2 3
      much time, for instance, they spent
```

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 8 of 14

BIRMINGHAM REPORTING SERV⁴ICE

categories are reflected on the cards, these cards. And it's from these thirty-one task categories that the subject matter experts chose; the top eight to twelve that are most critical for their job objectives.

Q So, in essence, the subject matter experts were shown the tasks as put in place by the SHL Work Profiling System Program?

A That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 0

1 1

1 2

1 3

1 4

1 5

16

17

18

19

2 0

2 1

2 2

2 3

Q They were not allowed to go in, so to speak, on a clean slate and pick the task categories themselves; they would have been confined to those that were part of the SHL Work Profiling System?

A That's correct.

Q 'Did you give any consideration to going in with a blank slate as opposed to trying to limit the tasks that they could list by -- to those that were part of the SHL Work Profiling System?

A No.

```
good at it. So the question was:
 1
      good do we need to be in order to develop
 2
      technical details; and then -- for
 3
      training; and then if we wanted to do that
 4
      across a number of jobs, how would we be
 5
      able to compare results across a number of
 6
 7
      jobs? So all of that pointed to me that
      we needed to do a more standardized --
 8
 9
      have a more standardized way of collecting
10
      job information.
11
             Well, let me ask you a more
      important question. As of 2000, weren't
1 2
      you working for SHL?
1 3
14
          Α
             Yes.
15
             So would SHL want you to be using a
      system that was used at a company that you
16
17
      used to work at as opposed to their work
18
      profiling system? Weren't you, in fact,
19
      selling your own system at that time?
2 0
            I'm trying to understand the
2 1
      question.
2 2
             Well, in 2000, you were working for
          Q
23
      SHL?
```

BIRMINGHAM REPORTING SERV⁵1 CE

focus groups across three markets would be 1 adequate for the purposes of developing 2 training. I said that based on not only 3 understanding the system and having used 4 5 the system for that purpose in the past, but also in having worked with the 6 7 Workplace Resource Center in South Carolina who had used the same system. 8 So, my idea was to try to build on the 9 work in the experience I already had 1 0 myself, as well as they had, and use the 11 12 system that Family Dollar owned; that is, the Work Profile System. 1 3 Do you feel that this system and 1 4 15 program would be sufficient for 16 determining what work was being performed 17 by all Family Dollar Store managers in all 18 stores? 19 Say that again. 2 0 Do you think this program and the work that was done as part of this would 2 1 2 2 be sufficient for you to determine the 2 3 actual work that was being performed by

```
store managers in twenty-five hundred
 1
      Family Dollar Stores?
 2
             MR. MAY: Object to the form.
 3
      can answer it.
 4
            For the purposes of training
 5
      around, particularly, in a pre-employement
 6
      mode, I think it would -- it would not be
 7
      sufficient. I think it would be a
 8
      starting point but not sufficient.
 9
             Would it be sufficient to answer a
10
      question as to whether a store manager
1 1
      should be included within the exempt
12
      category under the Fair Labor Standards
1 3
14
      Act?
             MR. MAY: Object to the form.
15
16
             In your opinion?
17
             MR. MAY: You haven't established
      that he has any background and ability in
18
19
      that.
             MR. CHILDS: Well, I assume he'll
2 0
      tell me if he can't.
2 1
2 2
          O Go ahead.
2 3
             I don't know, actually, because the
```

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 12 of 14

```
Charlotte?
 1
              I would not.
 2
 3
              Okay. You, in essence, had how
 4
      many total people as subject matter
 5
      experts for store managers?
              MR. MAY: For all three sessions?
 6
              MR. CHILDS: Yes.
 7
              Well, I didn't keep track of the
 8
      number, but we requested between four and
 9
1 0
      six for each session.
1 1
              Okay. So the most you would have
      had would have been eighteen subject
1 2
1 3
      matter experts; is that correct?
1 4
             Right.
          Α
1 5
             Okay.
          Q
16
          Α
             That's -- from memory, right.
17
             All right. And they -- you put
18
      them into focus groups?
19
          Α
             Correct.
2 0
             So you would have had either a team
2 1
        four in a focus group or a team of six
2 2
      in a focus group for Atlanta, and then the
2 3
      same thing for Columbia and the same thing
```

BIRMINGHAM REPORTING SERV⁶ICE

```
for Charlotte?
 1
 2
             Four, five, or six.
             Four, five, or six, okay. And I
 3
      notice under Bob, Pat, and Opal, we have
 4
      Winslow, Harold, and Loyd?
 5
 6
             Right.
          Α
 7
             Are they also subject matter
      experts?
 8
 9
          Α
             Yes.
10
             And Marcus, Donna, and Rodney, the
11
      same, subject matter experts?
1 2
             Store managers, right, in the store
13
      manager focus groups.
14
             Okay. So we have got -- all right.
15
      So you said there were between twelve and
                 Why do we only have the names
16
      eighteen.
      of nine on this document?
17
18
             I don't know if that's all
      inclusive. I don't know if that's all
19
2 0
      inclusive. In other words, there may have
2 1
      been more people there and just to
      identify the file, that may have been the
2 2
      names used to identify the file. There
2 3
```

Case 7:01-cv-00303-SLB Document 436-1 Filed 06/06/05 Page 14 of 14

BIRMINGHAM REPORTING SERV¹1⁷CE

```
1
      analysis for corporate jobs?
             Job profiling, right.
 2
 3
             Job profiling, okay. That's the
      same as doing a job analysis; right?
 4
 5
             No, it's not.
             What's the difference?
 6
             Job profiling is using this
 7
 8
      particular system.
             The SHL Work Profiling System?
 9
10
             Right. Job analysis would involve
11
      doing other -- typically things that
12
      people do when they're doing -- setting up
1 3
      selection systems, which would include
      critical incident -- and this is what the
14
15
      SHL psychologist did with me with Kraft.
16
      So, since I'm not industrial or
17
      organizational psychologist, whenever
18
      there's a system that we're putting in
19
      place that involves a WPS, the WPS is
2 0
      always the first step. There's additional
2 1
      steps that take place in job analysis,
2 2
      including critical incident repertoire
2 3
      grid analysis.
```